## Thin-film Growth of 1111-type Iron-based Superconductors

Hidenori Hiramatsu<sup>1,2</sup> and Hideo Hosono<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Materials and Structures Laboratory, Institute of Integrated Research, Institute of Science Tokyo, 4259 Nagatsuta-cho, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8501, Japan

<sup>2</sup> MDX Research Center for Element Strategy, Institute of Integrated Research, Institute of Science Tokyo, 4259 Nagatsuta-cho, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8501, Japan

After our report on heteroepitaxial growth of LaFeAsO thin films by pulsed laser deposition (PLD) using  $2\omega$  of a Nd:YAG laser [1], molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) is the most effective technique to fabricate superconducting 1111-type F-doped ReFeAsO (Re = rare earth) epitaxial films [2]. However, technical difficulties in the 1111-type film-growth have not been completely resolved both for PLD and MBE because only a few research groups can grow the films and the number of papers on the 1111 films is quite limited, compared with other iron-based films such as 122- and 11-types. Particularly, there is still no report on *in-situ* PLD growth of a superconducting 1111-type thin film.

Under such circumstances, we focused on H-doping of parent SmFeAsO (Sm1111) epitaxial films. We initially attempted H-doping by *in-situ* PLD under H<sub>2</sub> gas and rf-generated H radical atmospheres as well as by post-deposition thermal annealing treatment under H<sub>2</sub> gas atmosphere. Then, we adopted an atomic hydrogen source into the PLD growth chamber because thermally cracked hydrogen atoms should be more active. However, no Sm1111:H film could be obtained. Therefore, we selected a topochemical reaction between undoped Sm1111 epitaxial films and CaH<sub>2</sub> powders, succeeding in fabricating high- $T_c$  Sm1111:H films [3]. Binary hydrides other than CaH<sub>2</sub>, such as MgH<sub>2</sub>, SrH<sub>2</sub>, and BaH<sub>2</sub>, were also effective to dope hydrogen [4]. Magnetization measurements of the films potentially revealed high critical current densities ( $J_c$ ) of ~1 MA/cm<sup>2</sup> both under a self-field and 9 T [4]. Iida *et al.* clarified that the H-doping method using CaH<sub>2</sub> is applicable also to 1111-type MBE-grown NdFeAsO films, which exhibit a high self-field  $J_c > 10$  MA/cm<sup>2</sup> [5].

Although a high-pressure synthesis technique at 2–5 GPa is useful to fabricate polycrystalline bulks of 1111-type ReFeAsO:H, it is still difficult to grow enough large-size single crystals of Sm1111:H [6]. Thus, more detailed investigations on intrinsic physical properties, particularly depending on crystallographic orientation, were difficult. However, the Sm1111:H epitaxial films enable us to examine them. By employing high pulsed magnetic fields up to 130 T, we experimentally clarified that the upper critical field ( $H_{c2}||ab$ ) and its anisotropy parameter ( $\gamma$ ) of the Sm1111:H are 120 T and 2, respectively [7]. Especially, it should be noted that the small  $\gamma$  value is comparable to that of 122type BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> with lower  $T_c$  and much smaller than that of F-doped 1111. Therefore, the small  $\gamma$  is the distinct feature of Sm1111:H. Furthermore, recently Miura *et al.* precisely examined transport  $J_c$ and  $H_{c2}$  of our Sm1111:H epitaxial films, and found that the depairing current density ( $J_d$ ) of the Sm1111:H is extremely high (maximum 415 MA/cm<sup>2</sup>), comparable to that of cuprates. Additionally, they also performed proton irradiation to introduce high-density effective pinning centers in the Sm1111:H films, leading to demonstration of a quite high in-field  $J_c ||c of ~3 MA/cm<sup>2</sup>$  at 25 T [8].

## References

[2] For a review, J. Hänisch et al., Supercond. Sci. Technol. 32, 093001 (2019). DOI: 10.1088/1361-6668/ab1c00

- [4] H. Hiramatsu et al., Appl. Phys. Express 13, 073002 (2020). DOI: 10.35848/1882-0786/ab998f
- [5] For a review, K. Iida et al., Supercond. Sci. Technol. **36**, 063001 (2023). DOI: 10.1088/1361-6668/acccb2
- [6] For a review, S. Iimura and H. Hosono, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 89, 051006 (2020). DOI: 10.7566/JPSJ.89.051006

[8] M. Miura et al., Nat. Mater. 23, 1370 (2024). DOI: 10.1038/s41563-024-01952-7

<sup>[1]</sup> H. Hiramatsu et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 93, 162504 (2008). DOI: 10.1063/1.2996591

<sup>[3]</sup> J. Matsumoto et al., Phys. Rev. Mater. 3, 103401 (2019). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.3.103401

<sup>[7]</sup> K. Hanzawa et al., Phys. Rev. Mater. 6, L111801 (2022). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.6.L111801